Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	an,	10/804,905	HENLEY ET AL.
	ary	Examiner	Art Unit
		James M. Hewitt	3679
All Participants:		Status of Application:	
(1) James M. Hewitt.		(3)	
(2) <u>Christine Orich</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12/9/05</u>		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's representative informed the examiner that Applicant instructed Applicant's representative to not go forward with the application, and that no response to the 6/9/05 office action had been sent.			
Part III.		•	
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
•		·	
	•		
T.M.L			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)